

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/839,023 04/20/200		04/20/2001	Kannan Raj	INTL-0462-US(P9816)	2391	
21906	7590	11/03/2006		EXAMINER		
TROP PRI			SINGH, D	SINGH, DALZID E .		
1616 S. VOSS ROAD, SUITE 750 HOUSTON, TX 77057-2631				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2613	·	
				DATE MAILED: 11/03/2004	DATE MAILED: 11/03/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

58

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	_
09/839,023	RAJ ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	_
Dalzid Singh	2613	

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit							
	Dalzid Singh	2613							
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address									
THE REPLY FILED 20 September 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.  1. ☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:									
<ul> <li>a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing</li> <li>b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or</li> </ul>	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	on.						
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL									
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).									
AMENDMENTS  3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because  (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);									
<ul> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in befappeal; and/or</li> <li>(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).</li> </ul>	tter form for appeal by materially re corresponding number of finally rej		the issues for						
<ul> <li>4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1</li> <li>5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> <li>6.  Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ul>	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co :								
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: 1-4,7-15 and 17-30.  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wil vided below or appended.	l be entered and an e	explanation of						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good answas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	nt before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is	t be entered and enecessary and						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a ).						
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ied.						
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ol>		n condition for allowar	nce because:						
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)								

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the prior arts of record still read on the claimed subject matter. On the remark applicant argues that there is no communication between nodes. However, as cited in col. 17, lines 53-67 to col. 18, lines 67 and col. 19, lines 1-18, the prior art teaches communication between nodes. The claim recites, "to notify a first of the three processor..." The claim does not specifically recites communication signal as argued by applicant. Posting of information so that other nodes are aware of such information is a form of notification. Therefore, based upon broad interpretation of the claim, the prior arts of record still read on the claimed subject matter.

Datrid Swigh